

Hornsea Project Four

Statement of Common Ground between Hornsea Project Four and East Riding of Yorkshire Council

Deadline: 7, Date: 10 August 2022

Document Reference: F3.1

Revision: 05

Prepared Orsted, August 2022 Checked Orsted, August 2022

Accepted Thomas Watts, Orsted, August 2022
Approved Julian Carolan, Orsted, August 2022

Doc No. F3.1 Ver. D



Revision History

Date	Version	Reason for issue	
09/06/2020	i	1st draft template for East Riding of Yorkshire Council (ERYC)	
10/11/2020	ii	2 nd draft template updated to inform DCO deliverable reviews	
15/01/2021	iii	3 rd draft template updated and inputted positions for Historic Environment and Air Quality	
13/08/2021	iiii	4 th draft for input requests from ERYC and sign off prior to DCO application submission.	
24/09/2021	А	Draft version for DCO submission.	
08/03/2022	02	Updated draft version submitted at Deadline 1. New agreements added, awaiting positions from ERYC.	
21/04/2022	03	Updated version submitted at Deadline 3 with additional agreements.	
20/062022	04	Updated version submitted at Deadline 5 with additional agreements.	
10/08/2022	05	Final signed version submitted at Deadline 7.	

Signatories

Signed	M.Sunman [signed on 28/07/2022]	
Name	Mr Matthew Sunman	
Position	Principal Planning Officer – Minerals and Waste	
For	East Riding of Yorkshire Council	

Signed	J.Carolan	
	[signed on 02/08/2022]	
Name	Dr Julian Carolan	
Position	Consent Project Manager	
For	Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited	



Table of Contents

1	Introdu	uction	6
	1.1	Reason for this document	6
	1.2	Approach to SoCG	6
	1.3	Application elements under the ERYC remit	7
	1.4	Overview of Hornsea Four	7
2	Consul	tation	8
	2.1	Summary of consultation with ERYC	8
3	Onshor	re Agreement Log	15
	3.1	Overview	15
	3.1.2	General	16
	3.1.3	Draft DCO	17
	3.1.4	Geology and Ground Conditions	18
	3.1.5	Hydrology and Flood Risk	21
	3.1.6	Ecology and Nature Conservation	24
	3.1.7	Landscape and Visual	27
	3.1.8	Historic Environment	29
	3.1.9	Land Use and Agriculture	31
	3.1.10	Traffic and Transport	33
	3.1.11	Noise and Vibration	36
	3.1.12	Air Quality	38
	3.1.13	Socio-economics	40
1	Summo	arv.	12



List of Tables

Table 1: Summary of pre-application consultation with ERYC	8
Table 2: Position Status Key	15
Table 3: Agreement Log: General	16
Table 4: Agreement Log: Draft DCO	17
Table 5: Agreement Log: Geology and Ground Conditions	18
Table 6: Agreement Log: Hydrology and Flood Risk	21
Table 7: Agreement Log: Ecology and Nature Conservation	24
Table 8: Agreement Log: Landscape and Visual	27
Table 9: Agreement Log: Historic Environment	29
Table 10: Agreement Log: Land Use and Agriculture	31
Table 11: Agreement Log: Traffic and Transport	33
Table 12: Agreement Log: Noise and Vibration	
Table 13: Agreement Log: Air Quality	38
Table 14: Agreement Log: Socio-economics	4C



Glossary

Term	Definition
Development Consent An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting develop	
Order (DCO)	for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP).
Hornsea Project Four	The term covers all elements of the project (i.e. both the offshore and
Offshore Wind Farm	onshore). Hornsea Four infrastructure will include offshore generating
	stations (wind turbines), electrical export cables to landfall, and connection
	to the electricity transmission network. Hereafter referred to as Hornsea
	Four.

Acronyms

Acronym	Definition	
CEA	Cumulative Effects Assessment	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
ECC	Export Cable Corridor	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
ES	Environmental Statement	
ExA	Examining Authority	
HVAC	High Voltage Alternating Current	
HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current	
LSE	Likely Significant Effect	
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs	
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs	
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground	
OnSS	Onshore substation	
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report	
UK	United Kingdom	



1 Introduction

1.1 Reason for this document

- 1.1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited ('the Applicant') and East Riding of Yorkshire Council (ERYC) to set out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the two parties in relation to the proposed Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Hornsea Project Four offshore wind farm (hereafter referred to as 'Hornsea Four').
- 1.1.1.2 This SoCG covers the following topics:
 - General (Section 3.1.2)
 - Draft DCO (Section 3.1.3)
 - Geology and Ground Conditions (Section 3.1.4)
 - Hydrology and Flood Risk (Section 3.1.5)
 - Ecology and Nature Conservation (Section 3.1.6)
 - Landscape and Visual (Section 3.1.7)
 - Historic Environment (Section 3.1.8)
 - Land Use and Agriculture (Section 3.1.9)
 - Traffic and Transport (Section 3.1.10)
 - Noise and Vibration (Section 3.1.11)
 - Air Quality (Section 3.1.12)
 - Socio-economic (Section 3.1.13)
- 1.1.1.3 The need for a SoCG between the Applicant and ERYC is set out within the Rule 6 letter issued by the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) on 24th January 2022¹.
- 1.1.1.4 It is the intention that this document will facilitate further discussions between the Applicant and ERYC and will provide PINS with a clear overview of the level of common ground between both parties. This document will be updated throughout the application process.

1.2 Approach to SoCG

1.2.1.1 The Applicant took the decision at an early stage to adopt a proportionate approach to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for Hornsea Four which is detailed and integrated throughout the application for development consent. The Impacts Register (Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049)) is a key tool that details all potential impacts identified for Hornsea Four and sets the scope of the EIA at various stages of the project (Scoping, Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) and DCO). In line with the Applicants approach to proportionality, only Likely Significant Effects (LSE) are included within the individual topic assessments of the Environmental Statement (ES).

 $^{^1\,\}underline{\text{ENO10098-000901-Hornsea 4 Rule 6 letter.pdf (planninginspectorate.gov.uk)}}$



- 1.2.1.2 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:
 - Section 1: Introduction;
 - Section 2: Consultation;
 - Section 3: Onshore Agreement Log; and
 - Section 4: Summary.

1.3 Application elements under the ERYC remit

1.3.1.1 The elements of Hornsea Four which may affect the interests of ERYC are work numbers 6 to 10, onshore. These are detailed in Part 1 (Authorised Development) of Schedule 1 (Authorised Project) of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)). ERYC is identified as the "relevant planning authority" in the draft DCO and will be responsible for the approval of a number of plans and strategies pre-construction of relevant parts of the connection works for Hornsea Four.

1.4 Overview of Hornsea Four

- 1.4.1.1 Hornsea Four is an offshore wind farm which will be located approximately 69 km offshore the East Riding of Yorkshire in the Southern North Sea and will be the fourth project to be developed in the former Hornsea Zone. Hornsea Four will include both offshore and onshore infrastructure and consists of:
 - **Hornsea Four array area:** This is where the offshore wind generating station will be located which will include the turbines, array cables, offshore accommodation platforms and a range of offshore substations as well as offshore interconnector cables and export cables;
 - Hornsea Four offshore export cable corridor (ECC): This is where the permanent
 offshore electrical infrastructure (offshore export cables, as well as the High Voltage
 Alternating Current (HVAC) booster station (if required), will be located;
 - Hornsea Four intertidal area: This is the area between Mean High Water Springs
 (MHWS) and Mean Low Water Springs (MLWS) through which all of the offshore export
 cables will be installed;
 - **Hornsea Four onshore export cable corridor:** This is where the permanent onshore electrical cable infrastructure will be located; and
 - Hornsea Four onshore substation (OnSS) including energy balancing infrastructure:
 This is where the permanent onshore electrical substation infrastructure (onshore High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) converter/HVAC substation, energy balancing infrastructure and connections to the National Grid) will be located.



2 Consultation

2.1 Summary of consultation with ERYC

2.1.1.1 Table 1 summarises the consultation that the Applicant has undertaken with ERYC during the pre-application and post-application phases. In addition, a number of Position Statements and draft documents (including the impacts Register (APP-049)) have been issued throughout the per-application stage of Hornsea Four, for review and comment.

Table 1: Summary of pre-application consultation with ERYC.

Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
25/04/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Project Update #1
			Meeting to introduce Hornsea Four and the project team from
			Hornsea Four and ERYC.
22/06/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Project Update #2
			Meeting to provide a project update, discuss the terms of the
			planning performance agreement (PPA), draft Statement of
			Community Consultation (SoCC), local information events,
			community access points and local interest groups.
07/08/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #1
11/09/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Historic Environment Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #1
			Meeting to introduce Hornsea Four, the consenting
			programme, evidence plan process and the proportionate
			approach to EIA. An overview of historic environment work
			undertaken to date was provided, including scoping and
			approach to baseline. Assessment methodology, route
			planning and site selection was also discussed.
12/09/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Water and Flood Risk Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #1
12/09/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Onshore Ecology Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #1
15/10/2018	Consultation	Statutory	Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Report
21/11/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Project Update #3
			Meeting to provide project update and summary of informal
			consultation events. The OnSS site selection process was
			discussed, identifying 'zones', and access from the A1079.



Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
			Discussion held regarding A63 Castle Street Improvement
			scheme and A164/Jock's Lodge Improvement scheme.
12/12/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #2
07/01/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Human Environment Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #1
			Meeting to introduce Hornsea Four, the consenting programme, route planning and site selection. The foundations of the traffic and transport (including non-motorised users and public rights of way (PRoW)), noise and vibration and air quality assessments were discussed, including scope, assessment methodology
08/01/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Onshore Ecology Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #2
15/01/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four — Water and Flood Risk Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #2
			Meeting to provide project updates, and an overview of the survey methodology and preliminary results obtained from ongoing surveys. Discussion on Scoping responses received by the project, accompanied by a discussion on the next steps for the PEIR and ES assessments.
16/01/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Historic Environment Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #2
			Meeting to provide Hornsea Four update, recap of the EIA scoping report and approach to EIA proportionality. Route planning and site selection was discussed in relation to historic environment assets. Scoping opinions received were discussed, and necessary next steps, including survey and assessment work. Confirmation of the approach to assessment in respect of temporary logistics compounds, onshore substation ZTVs, and non-designated assets. Discussion regarding the WWII defences within the landfall search area in addition to effects on below-ground assets from changes to drainage patterns.
22/01/2019	Consultation response	Statutory	Scoping Opinion — Late Scoping Consultation Response from ERYC
			Providing comments on the Scoping Report.
02/04/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four Historic Environment Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #3



Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
			Meeting to provide a Hornsea Four update (including redline
			boundary changes since EIA scoping and OnSS site selection)
			and an overview of the consultation process. Survey
			methodology, baseline characterisation and locally
			significant historic environment receptors were discussed.
			Land access difficulties was raised that had prevented
			Geophysical survey effort.
05/04/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four Water and Flood Risk Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #3
			Meeting to provide project updates, Hornsea Fours
			proportionate EIA, further evidence base to scope out
			impacts where consensus had not been reached with
			stakeholders, as well as the next steps to seeking consensus
			with stakeholders on the approach to the PEIR.
08/04/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four Onshore Ecology Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #3
			Meeting to provide project updates, Hornsea Fours
			proportionate EIA, further evidence base to scope out
			impacts where consensus had not been reached with
			stakeholders, as well as the next steps to seeking consensus
			with stakeholders on the approach to the PEIR.
01/05/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four — EIA Proportionality Roadshow
			Meeting to discuss the approach to EIA proportionality. The
			meeting also covered ERYC's and the Applicant's prior
			experience of community benefit funds.
01/05/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four Human Environment Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #2
			Meeting to provide a Hornsea Four update (including redline
			boundary changes since EIA scoping and OnSS site selection)
			and an overview of the consultation process. The
			identification of PRoWs and subsequent effects was
			discussed, including the permanent diversion at the OnSS site
			and future coast path and landfall. Assessment methodology
			associated with traffic and transport was discussed and
			agreed, including the study area, approach to baseline
			characterisation, origin of HGVs and distribution of
			employees, and the use of DMRB compliant generic access
			designs.
05/06/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Project Update #4



Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
			Meeting to coordinate handover of ERYC personnel, discuss
			project update, overview of PEIR submission documents,
			overview of the formal consultation process and agreement
			to hold PEIR drop in session for ERYC technical officers.
25/06/2019	Consultation	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #3
27/05/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four — Energy Balancing Infrastructure
			Meeting to discuss EBI and Grid Systems: background,
			national and local balancing, Offshore wind and EBI.
27/06/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four — Water and Flood Risk Technical
			Panel meeting #4
			Meeting to provide project updates, further evidence base to
			scope out impacts where consensus had not been reached
			with stakeholders, and to present an update on how to read
			the Hornsea Four proportionate PEIR.
09/07/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Ecology Evidence Plan Technical
			Panel meeting #4
			Meeting to provide project updates, further evidence base to
			scope out impacts where consensus had not been reached
			with stakeholders, and to present an update on how to read
			the Hornsea Four proportionate PEIR.
13/08/2019	Consultation	Statutory	Hornsea Project Four PEIR
			Published for statutory Section 42 consultation.
03/09/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – PEIR Drop in Session
			Attended by core technical staff from EDVC in a 'dren in'
			Attended by core technical staff from ERYC in a 'drop in'
			format, allowing any questions to be asked about the
			Hornsea Four PEIR and requirements for Section 42
			consultation. The relevant application documents were
			highlighted for each respective technical attendee, to ensure
			acknowledgement and awareness prior to completing
23/09/2019	Consultation	Ctatutory	review.
23/09/2019	response	Statutory	ERYC letter response to PEIR
			Providing comments on the PEIR.
24/09/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four — OnSS Workshop #1
			Workshop to present and discuss multiple technical topic
			areas of relevance to the OnSS (traffic and transport,
			hydrology and flood risk, local heritage, ecology and public



Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
			rights of way. Site selection and access to the OnSS site was
			also discussed
			ERYC's countryside access team were in attendance.
02/10/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Highway Engineer Team Workshop
			Meeting to provide project update and present and discuss
			the approach to the traffic and transport assessment
			presented at PEIR. Additionally, all construction access points
			were reviewed on an online map to obtain the views and
			agreement from ERYC that the access locations and strategy
			was appropriate. The routeing of abnormal loads for the
			OnSS was also discussed.
29/10/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Human Environment Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #3
			Meeting to discuss PRoWs and cycle routes with ERYC.
05/11/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four — Water and Flood Risk Technical
			Panel meeting #5
			Meeting to provide project updates since the submission of
			the PEIR and the close of the 2019 Section 42 consultation.
			Summary and Hornsea Fours initial responses to key Section
			42 comments received, and to seek consensus on the
			approach to the ES.
06/11/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #4
04/11/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Human Environment Evidence Plan
			Technical Panel meeting #4
			Discussion on core assumptions associated with the Traffic
			and Transport and the associated impacts upon the Air
			Quality assessment presented in the Preliminary
			Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) for Hornsea Four.
13/11/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four — Ecology Evidence Plan Technical
			Panel meeting #5
			Meeting to provide project updates since the submission of
			the PEIR and the close of the 2019 Section 42 consultation.
			Summary and Hornsea Fours initial responses to key Section
			42 comments received and to seek consensus on the
			approach to the ES.
10/12/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Draft DCO Meeting



Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
			Meeting to run through the draft DCO, identifying the sections
			of most relevance to ERYC. Discussion around the role and
			requirements of ERYC to inform the draft DCO, and the
			programme for how input will be best integrated.
17/02/2020	Consultation	Statutory	Further Statutory Section 42 consultation
			Published by the Applicant for comments.
16/03/2020	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #5
15/04/2020	Draft	Non Statutory	Draft Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan issued
	documents		for review
29/04/2020	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four – Outline Construction Traffic
			Management Plan
			Meeting to discuss the previously distributed draft Outline
			Construction Traffic Management Plan with ERYC.
10/06/2020	Draft documents	Non Statutory	Draft DCO wording issued for review
			Draft wording for Schedule 1, Part 3 (process of discharging
			conditions) issued to ERYC for review and comment.
02/10/2020	Draft	Non Statutory	Draft Outline Public Right of Way Management Plan issued
	documents		for review
06/10/2020	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #6
26/10/2020	Meeting	Non Statutory	Catch up to discuss A164/Jocks Lodge Interaction, Locking
			Parish comments, DCO programme, SoCG and draft
			document reviews.
30/10/2020	Draft documents	Non Statutory	Draft ES Chapters issued for review
			The Historic Environment, Noise and Vibration and Air Quality
			draft ES chapters were issued to ERYC for review and
			comment (along with accompanying Impacts Register tabs
			and Commitment Register), to inform individual conference
			calls to provide an opportunity to ask questions and discuss.
09/11/2020	Email	Non Statutory	Email with updated A1079 access design for review and
			comment.
19-	Meetings	Non Statutory	Meetings held to discuss and facilitate the review of the
20/11/2020			Historic Environment, Noise and Vibration and Air Quality
			draft ES Chapters issued for review.
04/12/2020	Draft	Non Statutory	Draft ES Chapters issued for review
	documents		



Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
			The Geology and Ground Conditions, Hydrology and Flood
			Risk, Traffic and Transport draft ES chapters were issued to
			ERYC for review and comment (along with accompanying
			Impacts Register tabs and Commitment Register), to inform
			individual conference calls to provide an opportunity to ask
			questions and discuss.
18/12/2020	Draft documents	Non Statutory	OnSS updated access junction issued for agreement
			The updated access design off the A1079 was distributed,
			with an independent safety audit for sign off by ERYC.
10/05/2021	Meeting	Non Statutory	Project catch up, including project update, discussions
			regarding digital consultation, baseline validity, Lockington
			Parish comments and draft document reviews to inform the
			SoCG.
11/05/2021	Meeting	Non Statutory	Meeting to discuss the interaction between Hornsea Four's
			onshore ECC access off the A164 and the A164/Jocks Lodge
			Highways Improvement scheme. Recommendation from
			ERYC during meeting to move access point.
14/05/2021	Position	Non Statutory	Baseline data validity position papers issued via email for
	papers		Noise and Vibration, Onshore Ecology, Traffic and Transport,
			Air Quality.
25/05/2021	Email	Non Statutory	Updated access design off the A164 issued for review and
			comment further to the meeting held on 11/05/2021.
13/07/2021	Meeting	Non Statutory	Hornsea Project Four - Evidence Plan Steering Group #7
21/02/2022	Meeting	Non-Statutory	Meeting to discuss the LIR programme and SoCG.



3 Onshore Agreement Log

3.1 Overview

- 3.1.1.1 The following sections of this SoCG set out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant onshore topic (as identified in paragraph 1.1.1.3).
- 3.1.1.2 In order to easily identify whether a matter is 'agreed' 'not agreed', or an 'ongoing point of discussion' the colour coding system set out in **Table 2** below is used within the 'position' column of the following sections of this document.

Table 2: Position Status Key.

Position Status	Position Colour Coding
Agreed	Agreed
The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties	
Not Agreed – no material impact	Not Agreed – no material impact
The matter is not agreed between the parties, however the outcome of the	
approach taken by either the Applicant or RSPB is not considered to result in	
a material impact to the assessment conclusions.	
Not Agreed	Not Agreed
The matter is not agreed between the parties and the outcome of the	
approach taken by either the Applicant or RSPB is considered to result in a	
materially different impact to the assessment conclusions.	
Ongoing point of discussion	Ongoing point of discussion
The matter is neither 'agreed' nor 'not agreed' and is a matter where further	
discussion is required between the parties (e.g where documents are yet to	
be shared with RSPB).	

3.1.1.3 The following section of this SoCG summaries the level of agreement between Hornsea Four and ERYC on all relevant matters landward of MHWS.



3.1.2 General

Table 3: Agreement Log: General.

ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
G3.1: 1.1	There is a specific need to provide renewable energy, which is in line with government policy.	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
G3.1: 1.2	The Applicant has adequately consulted with ERYC throughout all stages of the project to date and the summary of Consultation (Section 2 of this SoCG) is a fair and accurate record of pre-application consultation.	Agreed. The way these discussions with ERYC have been recorded in the SoCG is a very thorough and accurate record.	Agreed
G3.1: 1.3	The site selection and route refinement outlined in Volume A1, Chapter 3: Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives (APP-009) has properly considered the alternatives for the relevant elements of Hornsea Four (landfall, onshore ECC and OnSS).	ERYC consultees have discussed the landfall arrangements and we are satisfied with the locations/development configurations etc.	Agreed
G3.1: 1.4	The selection of the OnSS site is appropriate and was discussed and agreed with ERYC through the pre-application consultation process.	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
G3.1: 1.5	The Applicant's approach to proportionate EIA has been discussed with ERYC and produces an Environment Statement that accords with the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017	ERYC have agreed that the ES should take a proportionate approach to the issues that needed to be addressed. ERYC can confirm that the ES accords with the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017.	Agreed
G3.1: 1.6	The Applicant and ERYC have discussed potential interaction between Hornsea Four and the A164 / Jock's Lodge Highway Improvement Scheme. This has resulted in Hornsea Four amending the access location off the A1079, and the updated access location and access design are considered appropriate.	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
G3.1: 1.7	The design of the OnSS, as presented in F2.13: Outline Design Plan (APP-248) is considered appropriate and reflects good quality design standards for the onshore aboveground infrastructure.	ERYC agree the design of the OnSS is appropriate.	Agreed
G3.1: 1.8	EBI safety is satisfactorily considered and F2.12: Outline Energy Balancing Infrastructure HazID Report (APP-247) provides the framework for safety measures to be secured and agreed with ERYC prior to construction.	ERYC agree that physical security measures for the perimeter are secured through Requirement 12. If certainty were considered necessary for the	Agreed



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position
			Summary
		buildings, this could be incorporated into	
		Requirement 7.	
G3.1: 1.9	Volume A2, Chapter 1: Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical	ERYC agree Volume A2, Chapter 1: Marine Geology,	Agreed
	Processes (APP-013) accurately and adequately assesses potential impacts	Oceanography and Physical Processes (APP-013)	
	and identifies that no significant effects will occur as a result of Hornsea	accurately and adequately assesses potential	
	Four.	impacts and identifies that no significant effects will	
		occur as a result of Hornsea Four.	
G3.1:1.10	The annexes provided within F2.2: Outline Code of Construction Practice are	ERYC agree that it is not necessary to provide	Agreed
	considered to be appropriate.	further details on the Emergency Response and	
		Pollution Control Plan, Communications Plan,	
		Crossing Method Statements and Construction	
		Lighting Plan at this stage and these issues can be	
		controlled at the requirements stage.	

3.1.3 Draft DCO

Table 4: Agreement Log: Draft DCO.

ID	Hornsea Fours' Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
G3.1: 2.1	The Principal Powers (namely the development consent granted by the	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	Order, power to construct and maintain the authorised project, benefit of		
	the order and application, modification of legislative provisions, defence to		
	proceedings in respect of statutory nuisance) as set out in Part 2 of C1.1:		
	Draft Development Consent Order (APP-203) are appropriate.		
G3.1: 2.2	Works associated with Streets as set out in Part 3 of C1.1: Draft	ERYC agree the works associated with streets are	Agreed
	Development Consent Order (APP-203) are appropriate.	appropriate. Schedules 2 and 3 are accurate and	
		appropriate.	
	The streets included within Schedule 2 and Schedule 3 are accurate and		
	appropriate.		
G3.1: 2.3	Works associated with Public Rights of Ways as set out in Part 3 of C1.1:	ERYC agreed the works associated with public rights	Agreed
	Draft Development Consent Order (APP-203) are appropriate.	of way are appropriate.	



ID	Hornsea Fours' Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
		Schedule 4 is accurate and appropriate.	
	The Public Rights of Way included within Schedule 4 (subject to temporary		
	stopping up, permanent diversion, temporary diversions and temporary		
	suspension) are accurate and appropriate.		
G3.1: 2.4	Access to works as set out in Schedule 5 of C1.1: Draft Development	The Schedule is accurate and appropriate.	Agreed
	Consent Order (APP-203) is accurate and appropriate.		
G3.1: 2.5	The Authorised Project set out in Schedule 1, Part 1 in C1.1: Draft	ERYC agree that Authorised Project as set out is	Agreed
	Development Consent Order (APP-203) (namely Work No, 6-10, where	appropriate.	
	relevant to ERYC) is appropriate.		
G3.1: 2.6	Requirements set out in Schedule 1, Part 3 of C1.1: Draft Development	The Requirements are accepted.	Agreed
	Consent Order (APP-203) are appropriately worded to secure necessary		
	mitigation measures (namely Requirements 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14,	The staged approach to discharge of requirements is	
	15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27 and 30, where relevant to ERYC).	considered appropriate.	
	The staged approach to discharge is considered appropriate.		
G3.1: 2.7	Details set out regarding the procedure for discharge of requirements (such	The procedure and timescales are agreed.	Agreed
	as necessary timeframes associated with ERYC decisions) in Schedule 1, Part		
	4 of C1.1: Draft Development Consent Order (REP2-061) are appropriate.		
G3.1: 2.8	Hedgerows set out in Schedule 10 in C1.1: Draft Development Consent	The hedgerows are accurate and appropriate.	Agreed
	Order (APP-203) are accurate and appropriate.		

3.1.4 Geology and Ground Conditions

Table 5: Agreement Log: Geology and Ground Conditions.

ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary	
Draft DCO / 0	Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring			
G3.1: 3.1	Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions (APP-025) has	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed	
	identified all relevant plans and policies and appropriate consideration has			
	been given to them in the assessment.			
EIA – Baselin	EIA – Baseline Environment			



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
G3.1: 3.2	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment relevant to Geology and Ground Conditions in Section 1.7; Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions (APP-025) to inform the EIA.	Agree the baseline environment is adequately defined.	Agreed
EIA – Assess	ment Methodology		
G3.1: 3.3	The study areas identified in Section 1.5 of Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions (APP-025) are appropriate.	Agree the study areas are appropriate.	Agreed
G3.1: 3.4	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined, where relevant, for each impact in Section 1.9 of Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions (APP-025), and in the 'Geology and Ground Conditions' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent the maximum project parameters for assessment.	Agree the maximum design scenario has been identified.	Agreed
G3.1: 3.5	The potential impacts identified in Table 1.7 and Section 1.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions (APP-025), and in the 'Geology and Ground Conditions' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts.	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
G3.1: 3.6	The methodologies used in Section 1.10 of Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions (APP-025) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Hornsea Four.	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
EIA – Assess	ment Conclusions		
G3.1: 3.7	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or during subsequent correspondence with geology and ground conditions stakeholders) for impacts GGC-C-1 (damage to designated geological SSSIs), GGC-C-2 (indirect effects on designated geological SSSIs), GGC-C-6 (soil compaction), GGC-C/O-9 (accidental spills), and GGC-D-10 (decommissioning), and not being significant in EIA terms, which resulted in these potential impacts being 'Scoped out' of further assessment or 'not considered in detail in the ES', is appropriate.	The conclusion is appropriate. Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
G3.1: 3.8	The conclusion that no LSE was identified for GGC-O-3 (sterilisation of future mineral resources), GGC-C-7 (dewatering of trenches and excavations), ENC-C-8 (physical intrusion into groundwater resource), GGC-C-11 (impacts on groundwater resources) at PEIR, and not being significant in EIA terms, and were therefore not considered in detail in the ES, is appropriate.	ERYC agree.	Agreed



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
G3.1: 3.9	The conclusion that impacts GGC-C-4 (exposure of workforce to health	The conclusion is appropriate. Agreed with ERYC	Agreed
	impacts), GGC-C-5 (encountering contamination during intrusive works),	and the Applicant.	
	assessed within Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground Conditions (APP-		
	025) are not considered to be significant in EIA terms is appropriate when		
	considered alongside the commitments in Table 1.8 and where relevant,		
	identified further mitigation measures.		
G3.1: 3.10	The conclusions of the CEA on geology and ground conditions presented in	The conclusion is appropriate. Agreed with ERYC	Agreed
	Section 1.12 and inter-related effects in Section 1.14 of Volume A3, Chapter	and the Applicant.	
	1: Geology and Ground Conditions (APP-025), are appropriate.		
G3.1: 3.11	Requirement 14 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)) is sufficient to	ERYC agree.	Agreed
	secure the mitigation measures associated with contaminated land and		
	groundwater.		
G3.1: 3.12	F2.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-237) includes all relevant	ERYC agree.	Agreed
	mitigation measures specified in Volume A3, Chapter 1: Geology and Ground		
	Conditions (APP-025) and is appropriate for managing construction impacts		
	from Hornsea Four on geology and ground conditions receptors landward of		
	MLWS.		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register (APP-050) includes a		
	commitment (Co124) to produce a CoCP in accordance with the Outline		
	CoCP which is secured via Requirement 17 of C1.1: Draft Development		
	Consent Order (APP-203).		



3.1.5 Hydrology and Flood Risk

Table 6: Agreement Log: Hydrology and Flood Risk.

		T.	
ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
EIA – Policy	v and planning		
G3.1: 4.1	Volume A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-026) has identified all	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	relevant plans and policies and appropriate consideration has been given to		
	them in the assessment.		
EIA – Basel	ine Environment	_	
G3.1: 4.2	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment relevant to Hydrology	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	and Flood Risk in Section 2.7, Volume A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood		
	Risk (APP-026), to inform the EIA.		
EIA – Asses	sment Methodology		
G3.1: 4.3	The study areas identified in Section 2.5 of Volume A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	and Flood Risk (APP-026) are appropriate.		
G3.1: 4.4	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined, where relevant, for	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	each impact in Section 2.9 of Volume A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood		
	Risk (APP-026), and in the 'Hydrology and Flood Risk' tab of Volume A4,		
	Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent the maximum project		
	parameters for assessment.		
G3.1: 4.5	The potential impacts identified in Table 2.9 of Volume A3, Chapter 2:	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-026), and in the 'Hydrology and Flood Risk'		
	tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent a		
	comprehensive list of the potential impacts.		
G3.1: 4.6	The methodologies used in Section 2.10 of Volume A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	and Flood Risk (APP-026) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts		
	of Hornsea Four.		
EIA – Asses	sment Conclusions		
G3.1: 4.7	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or during subsequent	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	correspondence with hydrology and flood risk stakeholders) for impacts HFR-		
	C-1 (disturbance from cable crossings of Main Rivers and IDB watercourses),		
	HFR-C-3 (disturbance from cable crossings of minor drainage ditches), HFR-C-5		



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
	(disruption of local land drainage), HFR-C-6 (changes in water quality,		
	construction), HFR-O-7 (alteration in run-off characteristics at onshore		
	substation), HFR-C-8 (mobilisation of pollutants), HFR-D-9 (decommissioning		
	onshore ECC), HFR-D-10 (impacts associated with decommissioning onshore		
	substation), HFR-O-11 (impacts associated with operation) and not being		
	significant in EIA terms, which resulted in these potential impacts being		
	'Scoped out' of further assessment or 'not considered in detail in the ES', is		
	appropriate.		
G3.1: 4.8	The conclusion that no LSE was identified for impacts HFR-C-12 (hydrological	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	and water quality effects on designated sites) and HFR-O-13 (thermal impacts		
	on water resources) (both not identified at Scoping), and not being significant in		
	EIA terms, resulted in these potential impacts being not considered in detail in		
	the PEIR or ES. This is appropriate.		
G3.1: 4.9	The conclusion that no LSE was identified for HFR-C-2 (access across	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	watercourses) and HFR-C-4 (access across minor drainage ditches) at PEIR, and		
	not being significant in EIA terms, and were therefore not considered in detail		
	in the ES, is appropriate.		
G3.1: 4.10	The assessment of potential effects on Hydrology and Flood Risk in Volume	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-026) is appropriate and		
	proportionate and identifies the likely significant effects from Hornsea Four.		
G3.1: 4.11	The conclusions of the CEA on hydrology and flood risk presented in Section	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	2.12 and inter-related effects in Section 2.14 of Volume A3, Chapter 2:		
	Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-026), are appropriate.		
Draft DCO /	Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1: 4.12	The measures described in F2.6: Outline Onshore Infrastructure Drainage	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	Strategy (APP-241) are appropriate and adequately mitigate likely significant		
	effects where possible.		
	F2.6 Outline Onshore Infrastructure Drainage Strategy (APP-241) includes all		
	relevant mitigation measures specified in Volume A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology		
	and Flood Risk (APP-026) and is appropriate for managing construction and		



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
	post construction impacts from Hornsea Four on hydrology and flood risk		
	receptors landward of MLWS.		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register (APP-050) includes		
	commitments (Co14, Co19, Co191) to produce a strategy in accordance with		
	the outline strategy which is secured via Requirement 13 and 15 of C1.1: Draft		
	Development Consent Order (APP-203).		
G3.1: 4.13	Requirement 13 and 15 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)) is	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	sufficient to secure the mitigation measures described in F2.6: Outline Onshore		
	Infrastructure Drainage Strategy (APP-241).		
G3.1: 4.14	F2.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-237) includes all relevant	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
	mitigation measures specified in Volume A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood		
	Risk (APP-026) and is appropriate for managing construction and post		
	construction impacts from Hornsea Four on hydrology and flood risk receptors		
	landward of MLWS.		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register (APP-050) includes a		
	commitment (Co124) to produce a CoCP in accordance with the Outline CoCP		
	which is secured via Requirement 17 of C1.1: Draft Development Consent		
	Order (APP-203).		
G3.1: 4.15	The application and modification of legislative provisions, as set out in of C1.1:	This is a matter for the relevant permitting	N/A
	Draft Development Consent Order (APP-203), in the context of the	authorities.	
	disapplication of Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) 2016 is		
	considered appropriate.		



3.1.6 Ecology and Nature Conservation

Table 7: Agreement Log: Ecology and Nature Conservation.

ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
EIA – Policy	and planning		
G3.1: 5.1	Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation (APP-027) has	Agree all relevant plans and policies identified and	Agreed
	identified all relevant plans and policies and appropriate consideration has	appropriate consideration given to them in the	
	been given to them in the assessment.	assessment.	
EIA – Baseli	ne Environment		
G3.1: 5.2	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment relevant to Ecology and	Agree the baseline established is appropriate.	Agreed
	Nature Conservation in Section 3.7; Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and		
	Nature Conservation (APP-027) to inform the EIA.		
EIA – Assess	sment Methodology	_	
G3.1: 5.3	The study areas identified in Section 3.5 of Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology	Agree the study areas are appropriate.	Agreed
	and Nature Conservation (APP-027) are appropriate.		
G3.1: 5.4	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined, where relevant, for	Agree maximum design parameters are identified.	Agreed
	each impact in Section 3.9 of Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature		
	Conservation (APP-027), and in the 'Ecology and Nature Conservation' tab of		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent the maximum		
	project parameters for assessment.		
G3.1: 5.5	The potential impacts identified in Table 3.13 and Section 3.11 of Volume A3,	ERYC cannot identify any further potential impacts.	Agreed
	Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation (APP-027), and in the 'Ecology		
	and Nature Conservation' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register		
	(APP-049), represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts.		
G3.1: 5.6	The methodologies used in Section 3.10 of Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology	Agree an appropriate methodology has been used	Agreed
	and Nature Conservation (APP-027) are appropriate for assessing the	for assessing the potential impacts.	
	potential impacts of Hornsea Four.		
EIA – Assess	sment Conclusions		
G3.1: 5.7	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or during subsequent	Agree that the conclusions reached are appropriate.	Agreed
	correspondence with ecology and nature conservation stakeholders) for		
	impacts ENC-C-7 (impacts on white clawed crayfish and fish, construction),		
	ENC-C-10 (accidental release of pollution, construction), ENC-O-12 (habitat		



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
	degradation from operation and maintenance of onshore ECC), ENC-O-13		
	(impacts on protected species from operation and maintenance of onshore		
	ECC), ENC-O-15 (accidental release of pollution, operation), ENC-D-16		
	(impacts on habitats of decommissioning of onshore ECC), ENC-D-19		
	(accidental release of pollutants, decommissioning), and not being significant		
	in EIA terms, which resulted in these potential impacts being 'Scoped out' of		
	further assessment or 'not considered in detail in the ES', is appropriate.		
G3.1: 5.8	The conclusion that no LSE was identified for ENC-C-2 (impacts on designated	The conclusion is appropriate.	Agreed
	sites, construction), ENC-C-8 (impacts on reptiles, construction), ENC-D-17		
	(decommissioning onshore substation on habitats) at PEIR, and not being		
	significant in EIA terms, and were therefore not considered in detail in the ES, is		
	appropriate.		
G3.1: 5.9	The conclusion that impacts ENC-C-1, ENC-C-3, ENC-C-4, ENC-C-5, ENC-C-6,	The conclusion is appropriate.	Agreed
	ENC-C-9, ENC-O-11, ENC-O-14, ENC-D-18 assessed within Volume A3 Chapter		
	3: Ecology and Nature Conservation (APP-027) are not considered to be		
	significant in EIA terms is appropriate when considered alongside the		
	commitments in Table 3.14 and where relevant, identified further mitigation		
	measures.		
G3.1: 5.10	The conclusions of the CEA on ecology and nature conservation presented in	The conclusions are appropriate.	Agreed
	Section 3.12 and inter-related effects in Section 3.14 of Volume A3, Chapter		
	3: Ecology and Nature Conservation (APP-027), are appropriate.		
Draft DCO /	Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1: 5.11	Requirement 8, 10 and 19 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)) is	ERYC agrees the requirements are sufficient to	Agreed
	sufficient to secure the mitigation measures described in F2,.3: Outline	secure the mitigation measures.	
	Ecological Management Plan (APP-238) and F2.8: Outline Landscape		
	Management Plan (APP-243).		
G3.1: 5.12	F2.3 Outline Ecological Management Plan (APP-238) includes all relevant	ERYC agrees mitigation measures are sufficient and	Agreed
	mitigation measures specified in Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature	appropriate.	
	Conservation (APP-027) and is appropriate for managing construction and		
	post construction impacts from Hornsea Four on ecology and nature		
	conservation receptors landward of MHWS.		



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register (APP-050) includes a		
	commitment (Co168) to produce an EMP in accordance with the Outline EMP		
	which is secured via Requirement 10 of C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203).		
G3.1: 5.13	F2.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-237) includes all relevant	ERYC agrees mitigation measures are sufficient and	Agreed
	mitigation measures specified in Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature	appropriate.	
	Conservation (APP-027) and is appropriate for managing construction and		
	post construction impacts from Hornsea Four on ecology and nature		
	conservation receptors landward of MLWS.		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register (APP-050) includes a		
	commitment (Co124) to produce a CoCP in accordance with the Outline CoCP		
	which is secured via Requirement 17 of C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203).		
G3.1:	The biodiversity net gain measures set out in F2.16: Outline Net Gain Strategy	ERYC agrees biodiversity net gain measures are	Agreed
5.14	(APP-251) are sufficiently comprehensive and appropriate.	sufficient, comprehensive and appropriate.	



3.1.7 Landscape and Visual

Table 8: Agreement Log: Landscape and Visual.

		I	1
ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
EIA — Po	licy and planning		
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (APP-028) has	Agree all relevant plans and policies identified and	Agreed
6.1	identified all relevant plans and policies and appropriate consideration has been	appropriate consideration given to them in the	
	given to them in the assessment.	assessment.	
EIA – Ba	seline Environment		
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment relevant to landscape and	Agree the baseline established is appropriate.	Agreed
6.2	Visual in Section 4.7, Volume A3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Impact		
	Assessment (APP-028), to inform the EIA.		
EIA – As	sessment Methodology		
G3.1:	The study areas identified in Section 4.5 of Volume A3, Chapter 4: Landscape and	Agree the study area is appropriate.	Agreed
6.3	Visual Impact Assessment (APP-028), are appropriate.		
G3.1:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined, where relevant, for each	Agree maximum design parameters are identified.	Agreed
6.4	impact in Section 4.9 of Volume A3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Impact		
	Assessment (APP-028), and in the 'landscape and Visual' tab of Volume A4, Annex		
	5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent the maximum project parameters for		
	assessment.		
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 4.10 and Section 4.11 of Volume A3,	ERYC cannot identify any further potential impacts.	Agreed
6.5	Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (APP-028), and in the		
	'Landscape and Visual' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049),		
	represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts.		
G3.1:	The methodologies used in Section 4.10 of Volume A3, Chapter 4: Landscape and	Agree an appropriate methodology has been used	Agreed
6.6	Visual Impact Assessment (APP-028) are appropriate for assessing the potential	for assessing the potential impacts.	
	impacts of Hornsea Four.		
G3.1:	The photomontages and wireframes presented in Volume A4, Annex 4.1:	ERYC Agree photomontages and wireframes are	Agreed
6.7	Landscape and Visual Resources Wireframes and Photomontages (APP-115)	appropriate to appropriately inform the Landscape	
	appropriately inform the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment for the OnSS.	and Visual Impact Assessment.	
EIA – As	sessment Conclusions		



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or during subsequent	Agree that the approach to assessment and	Agreed
6.8	correspondence with stakeholders) for impacts LV-O-3, LV-D-6 and LV-O-2	justification set out for these impacts is appropriate.	
	(operational impacts associated with the landfall and onshore ECC and		
	decommissioning impacts for the entire project)) resulted in these potential impacts		
	being 'Scoped out' or 'not considered in detail' in the PEIR and ES. This is		
	appropriate.		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at PEIR for impact LV-C-1(temporary	Agree that the approach to assessment and	Agreed
6.9	change to views at landfall and onshore ECC from construction activities)) resulted	justification set out for this impact is appropriate.	
	in the potential impact being 'not considered in detail in the ES'. This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The assessment of potential effects in Volume A3, Chapter 4: Landscape and	Agree that the assessment is appropriate and the	Agreed
6.10	Visual Impact Assessment (APP-028) is appropriate and proportionate and	likely significant effects have been identified.	
	identifies the likely significant effects from Hornsea Four.		
Draft DC	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1:	The measures set out in F2.8: Outline Landscape Management Plan (APP-243) and	Agree the measures are appropriate and	Agreed
6.11	F2.13: Outline Design Plan (APP-248) are appropriate and adequately mitigate	adequately mitigate likely significant effects.	
	likely significant effects identified in Volume A3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual		
	Impact Assessment (APP-028) where possible.		
G3.1:	Requirement 8 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)) is sufficient to secure	Agree the wording of the requirement is sufficient to	Agreed
6.12	the mitigation measures described in F2.8: Outline Landscape Management Plan	secure the mitigation.	
	(APP-243).		



3.1.8 Historic Environment

Table 9: Agreement Log: Historic Environment.

		T			
ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary		
EIA – Po	EIA – Policy and planning				
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029) has identified all relevant	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed		
7.1	plans and policies and appropriate consideration has been given to them in the				
	assessment.				
EIA – Bo	seline Environment				
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment relevant to Historic	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed		
7.2	Environment in Section 5.7, Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-				
	029), to inform the EIA.				
EIA – As	sessment Methodology				
G3.1:	The study areas identified in Section 5.5 of Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed		
7.3	Environment (APP-029), are appropriate.				
G3.1:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined, where relevant, for each	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed		
7.4	impact in Section 5.9 of Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029),				
	and in the 'Historic Environment' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register				
	(APP-049), represent the maximum project parameters for assessment.				
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 5.6 and Section 5.11 of Volume A3,	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed		
7.5	Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029), and in the 'Historic Environment' tab of				
	Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent a comprehensive list				
	of the potential impacts.				
G3.1:	The methodologies used in Section 5.10 of Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed		
7.6	Environment (APP-029) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of				
	Hornsea Four.				
EIA – As	sessment Conclusions				
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or during subsequent	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed		
7.7	correspondence with historic environment stakeholders) for impacts HE-D-7, HE-D-				
	8, HE-D-9 and HE-D-10 (all impacts during decommissioning) resulted in these				
	potential impacts being 'Scoped out' or 'not considered in detail' of further				
	assessment in the PEIR and ES. This is appropriate.				



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at PEIR for impacts HE-C-2, HE-C-4, HE-O-	This agreement is considered by ERYC to be more	N/A
7.8	5 and HE-O-6 (all 'indirect' impacts) resulted in these potential impacts being 'not	relevant for Historic England.	
	considered in detail in the ES' and are instead considered further in Volume A6,		
	Annex 5.1: Historic Environment Desk Based Assessment (APP-116 and APP-117).		
	This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The assessment of potential effects on Historic Environment in Volume A3,	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
7.9	Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029) is appropriate and proportionate and		
	identifies the likely significant effects from Hornsea Four.		
Draft DO	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1:	The measures described in F2.10: Outline Written Scheme of Investigation for	Agreed in principal – subject to review of the final	Agreed
7.10	Onshore Archaeology (APP-245) are appropriate and adequately mitigate likely	outline WSI.	
	significant effects identified in Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-		
	029).		
G3.1:	Requirement 16 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)) is sufficient to	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
7.11	secure the mitigation measures described in F2.10: Outline Written Scheme of		
	Investigation for Onshore Archaeology (APP-245).		



3.1.9 Land Use and Agriculture

Table 10: Agreement Log: Land Use and Agriculture.

		I	T
ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
EIA – Po	licy and planning		
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture (APP-030) has identified all	Agree all relevant plans and policies identified and	Agreed
8.1	relevant plans and policies and appropriate consideration has been given to them	appropriate consideration given to them in the	
	in the assessment.	assessment.	
EIA — Ba	seline Environment		
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment relevant to Land Use and	Agree the baseline established is appropriate.	Agreed
8.2	Agriculture in Section 6.7; Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture (APP-		
	030) to inform the EIA.		
EIA – As	sessment Methodology		
G3.1:	The study areas identified in Section 6.5 of Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and	Agree the study area is appropriate.	Agreed
8.3	Agriculture (APP-030) are appropriate.		
G3.1:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined, where relevant, for each	Agree maximum design parameters are identified.	Agreed
8.4	impact in Section 6.9 of Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture (APP-		
	030), and in the 'Land Use and Agriculture' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts		
	Register (APP-049), represent the maximum project parameters for assessment.		
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 6.12and Section 6.11 of Volume A3,	ERYC cannot identify any further potential impacts.	Agreed
8.5	Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture (APP-030), and in the 'Land Use and		
	Agriculture' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent a		
	comprehensive list of the potential impacts.		
G3.1:	The methodologies used in Section 6.10 of Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and	Agree an appropriate methodology has been used	Agreed
8.6	Agriculture (APP-030) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of	for assessing the potential impacts.	
	Hornsea Four.		
EIA – As	sessment Conclusions		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or during subsequent	Agree that the approach to assessment and	Agreed
8.7	correspondence with ecology and nature conservation stakeholders) for impacts	justification set out for these impacts is appropriate.	
	LUA-O-6 (disruption of land, operation) and LUA-D-7 (disruption of land,		
	decommissioning), and not being significant in EIA terms, which resulted in these		



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
	potential impacts being 'Scoped out' of further assessment or 'not considered in		
	detail in the ES', is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified for LUA-C-2 (impacts on coastal	ERYC agree this is an appropriate conclusion.	Agreed
8.8	recreation, construction), LUA-C-3 (impacts on recreation and amenity,		
	construction), LUA-C-4 (impacts on National Cycle network routes and other		
	PRoWs, construction), LUA-O-5 (impacts on National Cycle network routes and		
	other PRoWs, operation) at PEIR, and not being significant in EIA terms, and were		
	therefore not considered in detail in the ES, is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The conclusion that impact LUA-C-1 assessed within Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land	ERYC agree with the conclusions and can confirm	Agreed
8.9	Use and Agriculture (APP-030) is not considered to be significant in EIA terms is	they are appropriate.	
	appropriate when considered alongside the commitments in Table 6.13 and where		
	relevant, identified further mitigation measures.		
G3.1:	The conclusions of the CEA on Land Use and Agriculture presented in Section 6.12	ERYC agree with the conclusions and can confirm	Agreed
8.10	and inter-related effects in Section 6.14 of Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and	they are appropriate.	
	Agriculture (APP-030), are appropriate.		
Draft D	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1:	The management measures for PRoWs as set out in the Outline Public Right of	ERYC agree management measures for PROW are	Agreed
8.11	Way Management Plan (which forms Appendix C or F2.2: Outline Code of	appropriate.	
	Construction Practice (APP-237)) are appropriate. This includes the stopping up		
	and permanent diversion of PRoWs.		
G3.1:	F2.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) includes all relevant mitigation	ERYC agree mitigation measures are appropriate.	Agreed
8.12	measures specified in Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture (APP-030)		
	and is appropriate for managing construction and post construction impacts from		
	Hornsea Four on Land Use and Agriculture receptors landward of MLWS. This		
	includes the content of Appendix A: Outline Soil Management Strategy and		
	Appendix C: Outline Public Right of Way Management Plan.		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register (APP-050) includes a commitment		
	(Co124) to produce a CoCP in accordance with the Outline CoCP which is secured		
	via Requirement 17 of C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203).		



3.1.10 Traffic and Transport

Table 11: Agreement Log: Traffic and Transport.

RYC's Position Position Summary		ID
		Hornsea F
agreed with ERYC and the Applicant. Agreed	ı Four during	G3.1:
	fic and	9.1
agreed with ERYC and the Applicant. Agreed	e A1079	G3.1:
	raffic and	9.2
	tion and	
agreed with ERYC and the Applicant. Agreed	164 and Station	G3.1:
	sport	
	ted that no	
		EIA – Polic
agreed with ERYC and the Applicant. Agreed	fied all relevant	
		EIA – Base
agreed with FRYC and the Applicant.	affic and	
A STATE OF THE STA		
areed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Transport (APP-	
,		
areed with ERYC and the Applicant.	raffic and	
areed with FRYC and the Applicant.	ant, for each	
Agreed That and another production the second secon	· ·	
Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant. Agreed	affic and 1), to inform the Transport (APP- raffic and ant, for each ffic and me A4, Annex	9.4 EIA - Base G3.1: 9.5 G3.1: 9.6 Assessmen G3.1: 9.7 G3.1: 9.8



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
	5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049) , represent the maximum project parameters for		
	assessment. This represents the peak HGV, LCV and employee traffic generation		
	for Hornsea Four.		
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 7.11 and Section 7.11 of Volume A3,	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
9.9	Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport (APP-031), and in the 'Traffic and Transport' tab		
	of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent a comprehensive		
	list of the potential impacts.		
G3.1:	The definitions for 'magnitude' and 'sensitivity, as outlined in Section 7.10 of	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
9.10	Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport (APP-031), are appropriate.		
G3.1:	The origin and distribution of HGV and LCV movements and employee traffic used	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
9.11	to inform impact assessments in Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport		
	(APP-031), is appropriate and represents a Maximum Design Scenario.		
G3.1:	Consideration of the routeing of Abnormal Indivisible Loads (AIL) associated with	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
9.12	the OnSS is presented in Volume A6, Annex 7.1: Abnormal Load Report (APP-126).		
	The movement of AILs will be subject to separate agreement with the relevant		
	highway authorities and police through the Electronic Service Delivery for		
	Abnormal Loads system.		
EIA – A	ssessment Conclusions		
G3.1:	The conclusion is appropriate that no likely significant effect was identified at	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
9.13	Scoping for impacts TT-C-1 (movement of offshore project components on road		
	network), TT-O-10 (operation and maintenance) and TT-D-11 (decommissioning)		
	and resulted in these potential impacts being 'Scoped out' or 'not considered in		
	detail in the ES', is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The assessments TT-C- 2 (driver delay (capacity)) and TT-C-12 (cumulative effects)	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
9.14	are not considered in the ES as they will be addressed post-determination within		
	the final CTMP, secured under Requirement 18 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO		
	(APP-203)). This is considered appropriate.		
G3.1:	The assessment of potential effects on the local highway network in Volume A3,	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
9.15	Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport (APP-031) is appropriate and proportionate and		

Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
G3.1:	The measures described in the oCTMP (which forms Appendix F of F2.2: Outline	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
9.16	Code of Construction Practice (APP-237)) are appropriate and adequately		
	mitigate likely significant effects identified in Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and		
	Transport (APP-031) and in the 'Traffic and Transport' tab of Volume A4, Annex		
	5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049). Further detail and site-specific measures will be		
	agreed with ERYC in the final CTMP secured under Requirement 18 of the draft		
	DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)).		
G3.1:	The access concept designs set out in the oCTMP (which forms Appendix F of F2.2:	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
9.17	Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-237)) are appropriate. Detailed access		
	designs will be developed and agreed with ERYC prior to the start of construction,		
	secured under Requirement 11 of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)).		
G3.1:	The oCTMP (which forms Appendix F of F2.2: Outline Code of Construction Practice	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
9.18	(APP-237)) provides the adequate framework to secure necessary mitigation		
	measures to facilitate the removal of impacts TT-C- 2 (driver delay (capacity)) and		
	TT-C-12 (cumulative effects) from consideration in the EIA.		
G3.1:	The monitoring procedures set out in the oCTMP (which forms Appendix F of F2.2:	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
9.19	Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-237)) are appropriate and		
	comprehensive.		



3.1.11 Noise and Vibration

Table 12: Agreement Log: Noise and Vibration.

ID	Hornseg Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary	
	EIA – Policy and Planning			
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-032) has identified all relevant	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed	
10.1	plans and policies and appropriate consideration has been given to them in the			
	assessment.			
EIA – Bas	eline Environment			
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment relevant to Noise and	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed	
10.2	Vibration in Section 8.7, Volume A3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-032), to			
	inform the EIA.			
EIA – Ass	essment Methodology			
G3.1:	The study areas identified in Section 8.5 of Volume A3, Chapter 8: Noise and	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed	
10.3	Vibration (APP-032), are appropriate.			
G3.1:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined, where relevant, for each	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed	
10.4	impact in Section 8.9 of Volume A3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-032),			
	and in the 'Noise and Vibration' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register			
	(APP-049), represent the maximum project parameters for assessment.			
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 8.16 and Section 8.11 of Volume A3,	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed	
10.5	Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-032), and in the 'Noise and Vibration' tab of			
	Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent a comprehensive			
	list of the potential impacts.			
G3.1:	The methodologies used in Section 8.10 of Volume A3, Chapter 8: Noise and	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed	
10.6	Vibration (APP-032) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of			
	Hornsea Four.			
EIA – Assessment Conclusions				
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or during subsequent	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed	
10.7	correspondence with ERYC) for impacts NV-C-1 (noise and vibration from onshore			
	cable installation), NV-O-9 (buried cables noise), NV-O-10 (operational traffic			
	noise), NV-O-11 (routine maintenance noise), NV-O-12 (operational vibration), NV-			
	D-14 (noise and vibration from plant during decommissioning of cable route), NV-			



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
	C-5 (haul road construction noise and vibration), NV-O-13 (offshore HVAC booster		
	station noise) and NV-D-15 (noise and vibration from plant during		
	decommissioning of onshore substation) resulted in these potential impacts being		
	'Scoped out' of further assessment in the PEIR and ES. This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at PEIR for impacts NV-C-2 (noise and	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed
10.8	vibration from HDD works alone cable route), NV-C-4 (joint bay construction		
	noise), NV-C-6 (onshore substation construction noise) and NV-O-8 (operational		
	noise from the onshore substation) resulted in these potential impacts being 'not		
	considered in detail in the ES'. This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The assessment of potential effects in Volume A3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed
10.9	(APP-032) (Section 8.11) is appropriate and proportionate and identifies the likely		
	significant effects from Hornsea Four.		
G3.1:	The cumulative effect assessment and inter-related effects in Volume A3,	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed
10.10	Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-032) (Sections 8.12 and 8.14) is appropriate		
	and proportionate and identifies the likely significant effects from Hornsea Four.		
Draft DC	O / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1:	The mitigation measures outlined in Volume A3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed
10.11	(APP-032), and in the 'Noise and Vibration' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts		
	Register (APP-049) are appropriate and mitigate potentially significant effects to		
	acceptable levels.		
G3.1:	The measures set out in F2.2: Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-237)	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed
10.12	and the oCTMP (which forms Appendix F of the outline CoCP) are appropriate and		
	adequately mitigate likely significant effects identified in Volume A3, Chapter 8:		
	Noise and Vibration (APP-032) and in the 'Noise and Vibration' tab of Volume A4,		
	Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049). These documents will form the basis of		
	the detailed CoCP and CTMP secured under Requirement 17 and 18 of the draft		
	DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)).		
G3.1:	The indicative onshore substation operational noise mitigation measures outlined	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed
10.13	in F2.13: Outline Design Plan (APP-248) is appropriate and will inform the detailed		
	Design Plan to be submitted under Requirement 7 of the DCO.		
G3.1:	DCO Requirement 21 (Control of noise during operational phase) is appropriate	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed
10.14	and secures necessary mitigation measures through a noise management plan.		



3.1.12 Air Quality

Table 13: Agreement Log: Air Quality.

		T	1	
ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary	
EIA – Pol	EIA – Policy and Planning			
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033) has identified all relevant plans and	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed	
11.1	policies and appropriate consideration has been given to them in the assessment.			
EIA – Bas	seline Environment			
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment relevant to Air Quality in the	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed	
11.2	jurisdiction of ERYC in Volume A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033), to inform the			
	EIA.			
G3.1:	The future baseline identified in Volume A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033) is	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant. ERYC note	Agreed	
11.3	considered appropriate.	that at the time of DCO application there will be		
		further monitoring data available, but there is no		
		expectation to be incorporated into the assessment.		
EIA – Ass	EIA – Assessment Methodology			
G3.1:	The study areas identified in the jurisdiction of ERYC in Section 9.5 of Volume A3,	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed	
11.4	Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033), are appropriate.			
G3.1:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined, where relevant, for each	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed	
11.5	impact in Section 9.8 of Volume A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033), and in the			
	'Air Quality' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent			
	the maximum project parameters for assessment.			
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 9.9 and Section 9.11 of Volume A3,	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed	
11.6	Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033), and in the 'Air Quality' tab of Volume A4, Annex			
	5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent a comprehensive list of the potential			
	impacts.			
G3.1:	The methodologies used in Section 9.10 of Volume A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed	
11.7	(APP-033) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Hornsea Four.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	essment Conclusions			
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or during subsequent	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed	
11.8	correspondence with ERYC) for impacts AQ-O-4 (emissions from facilities during			



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
	operation), AQ-O-3 (dust and exhaust emissions from traffic during operation) and		
	AQ-D-5 (dust generation during decommissioning) resulted in these potential		
	impacts being 'Scoped out' of further assessment in the PEIR and ES. This is		
	appropriate.		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at PEIR for impacts AQ-C-1 (dust	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
11.9	generation during onshore construction) and AQ-A-2a (dust generation and		
	exhaust emissions from traffic during operation within ERYC's area of jurisdiction)		
	resulted in these potential impacts being 'not considered in detail in the ES'. This is		
	appropriate.		
G3.1:	The assessment of potential effects in Volume A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
11.10	033) (Section 9.11) is appropriate and proportionate and identifies the likely		
	significant effects from Hornsea Four.		
G3.1:	The cumulative effect assessment and inter-related effects in Volume A3,	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant. ERYC note	Agreed
11.11	Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033) (sections 9.12 and 9.14) is appropriate and	that additional projects may be identified at the	
	proportionate and identifies the likely significant effects from Hornsea Four.	time of application and therefore may need	
		consideration.	
Draft D	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.1:	The mitigation measures outlined in Volume A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-033),	Agreed with ERYC and the Applicant.	Agreed
11.12	and in the 'Air Quality' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049)		
	are appropriate and mitigate potentially significant effects to acceptable levels.		
G3.1:	The measures described in F2.2: Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-237)	ERYC agrees with the mitigation proposed and can	Agreed
11.13	and the oCTMP (which forms Appendix F of the outline CoCP) are appropriate and	confirm it is appropriate and adequate to mitigate	
	adequately mitigate likely significant effects identified in Volume A3, Chapter 9:	likely significant effects.	
	Air Quality (APP-033) and in the 'Air Quality' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1:		
	Impacts Register (APP-049). These documents will form the basis of the detailed		
	CoCP and CTMP secured under Requirement 17 and 18 of the draft DCO (C1.1:		
	Draft DCO (APP-203)).		



3.1.13 Socio-economics

Table 14: Agreement Log: Socio-economics.

ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary		
	EIA – Policy and planning				
G3.1:	Volume A3, Chapter 10: Socio-economics (APP-034) has identified all relevant	Agree all relevant plans and policies identified and	Agreed		
12.1	plans and policies and appropriate consideration has been given to them in the	appropriate consideration given to them in the	rigicod		
12.1	assessment.	assessment.			
	EIA – Baseline Environment				
G3.1:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment relevant to socio-economics	Agree the baseline established is appropriate.	Agreed		
12.2	in Section 10.7, Volume A3, Chapter 10: Socio-economics (APP-034) and	Agree the baseline established is appropriate.	rigiced		
12.2	supporting technical annex (APP-128), to inform the EIA.				
ΕΙΛ _ Λα	ssessment Methodolgy				
G3.1:	The study areas identified in Section 10.5 of Volume A3, Chapter 10: Socio-	Agree the study area is appropriate.	Agreed		
12.3	economics (APP-034), are appropriate.	Agree the study dred is appropriate.	Agreed		
G3.1:	The justification for why no maximum design scenario is appropriate for	Agree no maximum design scenario is appropriate in	Agreed		
12.4		this case and appropriate information has been used	Agreed		
12.4	assessment, as set out in Section 10.9 of Volume A3, Chapter 10: Socio-	to inform the assessment.			
	economics (APP-034), and in the 'socio-economics' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1:	to inform the assessment.			
	Impacts Register (APP-049), is acceptable and appropriate information has been				
	used to inform the assessment.				
G3.1:	The potential impacts identified in Table 10.9 and Section 10.11 of Volume A3,	ERYC cannot identify any further potential impacts.	Agreed		
12.5	Chapter 10: Socio-economics (APP-034), and in the 'socio-economics' tab of				
	Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049), represent a comprehensive				
	list of the potential impacts.				
G3.1:	The methodologies used in Section 10.10 of Volume A3, Chapter 10: Socio-	Agree an appropriate methodology has been used	Agreed		
12.6	economics (APP-034) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of	for assessing the potential impacts.			
	Hornsea Four.				
EIA – As	ssessment Conclusions	T			
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or during subsequent	The conclusion is appropriate.	Agreed		
12.8	correspondence with stakeholders) for impacts SE-D-7 (decommissioning phase				
	impacts on employment and GVA), SE-A-9 (tourism impacts), SE-A-10 (impacts on				
	social services), SE-A-11 (impacts on housing), SE-A-8 (sumulative impacts),				



ID	Hornsea Four's Position	ERYC's Position	Position Summary
	resulted in these potential impacts being 'Scoped out' or 'not considered in detail'		
	in the PEIR and ES. This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at PEIR for impacts SE-C-1 (economic	The conclusion is appropriate.	Agreed
12.9	activity, construction), SE-C-2 (employment, construction), SE-O-4 (ecomomic		
	activity, operation) and SE-O-5 (employment, operation) resulted in the potential		
	impacts being 'not considered in detail in the ES'. This is appropriate.		
G3.1:	The assessment of potential effects in Volume A3, Chapter 10: Socio-economics	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed
12.10	(APP-034) is appropriate and proportionate and identifies the likely significant		
	effects from Hornsea Four.		
Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring			
G3.1:	The measures described in F2.18: Outline Employment and Skills Plan are	Agreed by ERYC.	Agreed
12.11	appropriate and provide an adequate basis for future development with ERYC.		



4 Summary

- 4.1.1.1 This SoCG has outlined the consultation that has taken place between the Applicant and East Riding of Yorkshire Council. The agreement logs present the position reached between Hornsea Four and East Riding of Yorkshire Council in relation to relevant onshore matters.
- 4.1.1.2 This SoCG will be updated as discussions progress and made available to PINS as requested through the DCO examination phase.